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1. Introduction

Kinesio Taping was a technique developed by Dr. Kenzo Kase in the 70s. The adhesive pliable material, directly applied to the skin, differs from classical tape in its physical characteristics. Furthermore, its clinical application departs from the usual restriction of mobility. This technique claims four effects: to normalize muscular function, to increase lymphatic and vascular flow, to diminish pain and aid in the correction of possible articular malalignments (Kase et al., 1996). This taping technique is frequently applied for pathologies in the musculoskeletal system, especially in the field of sports injuries (Yasukawa et al., 2006; Zajt-Kwiatkowska et al., 2007).

Myofascial pain has been studied by several authors (Simons, 1996; Hong and Simons, 1998; Travell and Simons, 1999; Niddam et al., 2007) and among the manual therapy techniques applied are massage (Gam et al., 1998; Travell and Simons, 1999), compression techniques (Hanten et al., 2000), stretching (Travell and Simons, 1999; Hanten et al., 2000), injection of different substances (De Andrés et al., 2003; Kamanli et al., 2005) and dry needling (Edwards and Knowles, 2003). Notwithstanding the above, there is an absence of references documenting the application of Kinesio Taping in the treatment of pain arising from myofascial trigger points (MTPs).

This case report documents the results achieved with Kinesio Taping as the exclusive therapeutic procedure for the treatment of a patient with shoulder pain of myofascial origin.

2. Case report

2.1. History

A 20-year-old female patient was seen due to pain of two days duration in her right shoulder (Fig. 1). The pain, extremely intense from the beginning, intensified 48 h after awakening, and had not diminished. The intensity of the pain did not wake the patient and although she usually adopted a supine position, the pain was not related to any specific posture during sleeping.

Previous clinical history included a diagnosis of rotator cuff pathology in the same shoulder induced by her activity as a swimmer. Subsequently, the patient had not been training for one year and this had completely resolved the complaint. The current pain episode was treated from the beginning with NSAIDs (ibuprofen 1-1-1) and gastric protector (magaldrate anhydrous), short wave and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS), with no improvement. The patient did not endure the TENS and this treatment was discontinued.

2.2. Examination

The clinical examination findings are as listed below:

- Restricted shoulder mobility caused by pain, assessed by goniometry and Apley’s scratch test (McFarland, 2006) for the most representative movements, in order to avoid the
increasing irritation suffered by the patient. The goniometry measurements disclosed a range of movement of 35° and 54° for abduction and flexion respectively. The same movements were painful but almost full range when tested passively. External rotation was measured in the anatomical position with the elbow at a 90° flexion, hence obtaining a result of 90°, both actively and passively. From a more functional point of view, superior and inferior Apley’s scratch tests (McFarland, 2006) were examined. In the superior test, pain inhibited the action, and in the inferior test her fingertips reached the inferior angle of the scapula, although the left upper limb fingertips contacted the cervicodorsal junction.

- Visual Analogic Scale (VAS) scored 10 in movement and 5.85 in the resting position.
- No results were obtained for Jobe’s test (Buckup, 2008), palm up and specific for positive deltoid, and the test of painful arc. In Jobe’s test, the lack of results was due to it not being possible to support the upper limb in the correct position to undertake the test.
- The sub deltoid bursa, rotator cuff tendons and short and long heads of biceps brachialis were painless at palpation. However, several taut bands were felt with active MPTs in anterior and medial deltoid fasciculi. Following the protocol described by Fischer (1988, 1997), pressure pain thresholds (PPT) were measured with an analogic algometer (Wagner Instruments. Greenwich, USA) and scored 0.5 Kg/cm² to induce the pain.

- The active and passive physiological movements of the cervical spine were painless and full range. The anterior and posterior cervical quadrant tests (Maitland et al., 2007) were normal.

2.3. Physiotherapeutic diagnosis and treatment

Based on the onset of the pain and the results of the clinical examination, the authors hypothesize an activation of the MTPs in the anterior and medial deltoid fasciculi, although the patient did not relate the pain with any specific activity in the 24 h prior to symptom onset. For this reason the authors followed the essential diagnosis criteria for MTPs described by Simons et al. (2002) (Table 1). The treatment of choice for the myofascial pain of the deltoid muscle was an application of Kinesio Taping for the deltoid, reinforced by a transverse strip over the region where the MTPs are located as shown in Figs. 2 and 3.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Essential criteria:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Palpable taut bands (if muscle accessible).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Local pain sensitive to pressure over a nodule on the taut bands (focally).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Recognition of usual pain suffered by the patient pressing on the sensitive nodule (identification of active MTPs).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Painful restriction of the full range of motion on passive stretching.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmatory observances:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Visual or tactile identification to twitch response.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Distinction of a twitch response induced by needle puncture of the sensitive nodule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Pain or sensibility alteration (in the predictable distribution of a MTPs in the muscle) by compression of the sensitive nodule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Electromyographic demonstration of spontaneous electrical activity representative of the active loci located in the sensitive nodule of the taut bands.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Body chart showing painful area.

Fig. 2. Kinesio Taping application for deltoid muscle.
Apart from the initial assessment, two more evaluations were performed, one just after the application of the taping and another two days after the start of the treatment when the taping was removed.

3. Results

An objective improvement in the range of motion was observed after treatment. Nevertheless, there was no change in the VAS or in the algometry. Active abduction scored 107°, and active flexion 50°. Passive values and external rotation exhibited no change. Inferior Apley’s scratch test (McFarland, 2006) was unchanged, but there was an improvement in the superior test reaching the cervicodorsal joint, while the left side attained the mid dorsal region. The specific tests matched the previous findings, except for Jobe’s test (Buckup, 2008), where the patient was now capable of maintaining the upper limb in the study position.

Two days after treatment and from a subjective point of view, the patient felt there had been a noticeable improvement. After tape removal, the patient was re-evaluated. Mobility in active abduction and flexion reached 160° and 165° respectively. The same movements were painless and full range in passive range of motion. In superior Apley’s scratch test (McFarland, 2006), the hand reached the mid dorsal region, the same as the contralateral side; whereas in the inferior test the affected limb reached the inferior angle of the scapula, while the left hand achieved the higher position of the cervicodorsal junction. Specific tests were positive for Jobe’s test (Buckup, 2008) (offering resistance to the physiotherapist opposition) and medial deltoid; being negative for the anterior deltoid test, painful arc test and the palm up test. PPT scored 0.7 Kg/cm² and VAS was 0.6 at rest and 2.7 during motion (Table 2).

After 9 days, a telephone follow up was conducted. The patient reported she was in no pain and her shoulder movement was almost normal.

4. Discussion

The patient exhibited an important pain-restriction related to shoulder function ability, caused by the MTPs. In view of the results, the treatment with Kinesio Taping modified the inhibition of the deltoid muscle caused by the pain. It is highly significant that intensity values of pain, either subjective (VAS) or objective (algometry), did not change between the two first measurements, although a significant improvement was perceived in the functional tests and active shoulder range of motion. Just after the treatment, the improvement in abduction was much greater than in flexion. This can be explained by the application of KT where the corrective strip was on the middle deltoid.

Between the second and third assessments, the functional tests and articular range of motion kept improving, but never normalized completely. There was a greater improvement in the VAS scores than in the algometry. This was possibly due to an inactivation of the active MTPs in the deltoid muscle. The overall enhancement might be the result of the normalization of muscular function and not merely an analgesic effect. The effect of taping on muscular activity has been studied by several authors (Stupik et al., 2007), who showed that in healthy subjects performing an isometric contraction of the quadriceps muscle, Kinesio Taping modifies the bioelectrical activity of the vastus medialis by an increase in motor unit recruitment. Chen et al. (2007) found a significant increase in the activating velocity of the vastus medialis in patients with femoropatelar syndrome versus control and placebo subjects after applying Kinesio Taping. Whereas Fu et al. (2008) did not observe any improvement in isokinetic measurements or inhibition of muscular torque in knee flexors or extensors when a Kinesio Taping was applied in healthy athletes’ quadriceps muscle. Neither electromyographic change have been observed in the McConnell taping technique in those muscle groups implied in scapular rotation (Cools et al., 2002). However, Liu et al. (2007) showed an improvement in epicondylear muscles sliding during wrist movements in two patients with epicondylalgia after application of the Kinesio Taping technique. In this study, they used a diagnostic ultrasound image of the epicondylar muscles.

Table 2
Summary of the patient assessment results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>Post-treatment</th>
<th>2 days after treatment at tape removal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abduction</td>
<td>35°</td>
<td>107°</td>
<td>160°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexion</td>
<td>54°</td>
<td>50°</td>
<td>165°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External rotation</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>90°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior Apley's scratch test</td>
<td>Unable to test</td>
<td>Cervicodorsal junction</td>
<td>Mtd dorsal region (Normal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior Apley's scratch test</td>
<td>Inferior angle of scapula</td>
<td>Inferior angle of scapula</td>
<td>Inferior angle of scapula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Analogic Scale (VAS)</td>
<td>10 at motion</td>
<td>10 at motion</td>
<td>2.7 at motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allogmetry</td>
<td>0.5 Kg/cm²</td>
<td>0.5 Kg/cm²</td>
<td>0.7 Kg/cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobe's test</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient unable to support her upper limb</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The patient holds her upper limb in absence of opposition</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm up</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior deltoid test</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial deltoid test</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painful arc</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The active movement improves after the application of Kinesio Taping. This was demonstrated by Yoshida and Kahanov (2007) and Ebbers and Pijnappel (2006) for the active flexion of the lower trunk and for the sit and reach test in the lower limbs respectively. Furthermore, other authors emphasized the functional improvement and focused in the control of upper limb (Jaraczewska and Long, 2006; Yasukawa et al., 2006) and oral and facial motion (Drummond and Fox, 2004). The patient probably obtained benefit from this effect over the motor control by Kinesio Taping.

Simoneau et al. (1997) postulates that a tape consisting of a strip applied longitudinally crossing a joint may have a positive effect, a consequence of the tactile stimuli that increases the feedback and therefore assists the motor control. Furthermore Alexander et al. (2008) hypothesizes that the longitudinal strip may shorten the muscular fibres, producing a decrease in the afferent la discharge from the neuromuscular spindle, causing a reduction in the motor neurons of the medullar anterior horn, proved by the diminished amplitude of the H reflex observed in the subjects studied (Alexander et al., 2003, 2008). This lower muscular tone might explain the MTPs inhibition and the functional improvement that might influence the decrease of pain.

5. Conclusion

Data on pain, joint motion and shoulder function obtained from this study may suggest that treatment with Kinesio Taping contributed to the resolution of the patient’s pathology, producing an immediate improvement and resolving the problem in the following days. The results therefore suggest that Kinesio Taping might well be a technique highly appropriate in the treatment of MTPs. However, more research is necessary, both clinical and neurophysiological, to clarify the specific mechanisms and effects of the Kinesio Taping technique.
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